

May 31: Integer Factorization: "The Problem that Needs No Introduction"

Why care? Such a fundamental problem....

"Dignity of Science" - Gauss

RSA, Rabin, ...

Throughout, we will work w/ composite $N=pq$ ($p < q$ prime)

↳ Easily generalizes to other cases ($n = \log_2 N$)
↳ Assume $p \approx q \approx \sqrt{N}$ ↑ input length

N.B: $O(N)$ is exponential = $O(2^n)$; $O(\text{poly} \log(N))$ is poly. in input

First algorithm: Trial Division

- 1) If we can't find the second-largest factor of N , can factor.
- 2) We know second-largest has size $< \sqrt{N}$.

Alg

Recall: Euclid's algorithm ("first alg")

Thm Given a, b , can find $\text{gcd}(a, b)$ in time $\text{poly}(|a|, |b|)$.

⇒ You can test whether p is a factor of N in time $\text{poly}(|p|, |N|)$.

Compute $\text{gcd}(p, N)$, check if $\neq 1$.

Trial Division

Input: $N=pq$

Output: factor p of N .

for $i = 1, \dots, \sqrt{N}$:

 if $\text{gcd}(p, N) \neq 1$ {

 return p

}

Trial Division

Running time: $O(\sqrt{N})$ gcd calculations

Input len: $n = \log N \Rightarrow O(2^{n/2}) \Rightarrow$ "exponential time"

N.B. Can compute gcd w/ only primes to get a
= factor of $\log N$ speedup.

N.B. There is a variant of Pollard's "rho" algorithm that
factors (heuristically) in $O(N^{1/4})$ time \leftarrow still exponential,
but much better than trial division!

Pollard's $p-1$ Method (1974?)

Let's say you are lucky (or: unlucky) and you get

$N = pq$ where $p = p_1 p_2 p_3 \dots p_k + 1$ for p_i "small" $< B$.

Then there is a subexponential alg that factors N .

Alg:

$$a \leftarrow^{\mathcal{R}} \mathbb{Z}_N$$

Check that a is not a factor of N .

Let $E \leftarrow \prod p_i^{e_i}$ (where e_i is large enough s.t. $p_i^{e_i} > \sqrt{N}$).

$$b \leftarrow a^E \pmod{N}$$

If $\gcd(b-1, N) \neq 1$, return factor.

Else repeat.

Pollard's P-1 Method

(Correctness)

Analysis: Recall the C.R.T.
Whenever we work mod $N = p^q$, can work mod p
and mod q .

$$b \leftarrow a^E \pmod{N}$$

$$b_p \leftarrow a^E \pmod{p}$$

BUT $E = (p-1)E'$, so...

$$b_p = a^{(p-1)E'} \pmod{p}$$

$$= 1^{E'} \pmod{p}$$

$$= 1 \pmod{p}$$

$$b_p - 1 = 0 \pmod{p}$$

$$b_q \leftarrow a^E \pmod{q}$$

$$= a^{E \pmod{q-1}} \pmod{q}$$

Probability that $E \pmod{q-1} = 0$ is "small,"
so very likely that

$$b_q \neq 1 \pmod{q}$$

$$b_q - 1 \neq 0 \pmod{q}$$

$$(b-1) \mid p \text{ AND } (b-1) \nmid q.$$

So $\gcd(b-1, N)$ yields factor of N .

Fermat's Little Thm

p prime

$$\gcd(a, p) = 1$$

$$a^{p-1} = 1 \pmod{p}$$

Running time:

We are doing B exponentiations mod N .

It's good

If $N = pq$ and $p = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot \dots \cdot |2| + 1$, you're in trouble!

Pollard's $p-1$ Method

Is your RSA modulus in danger? What is $\Pr_{\text{RSA mod } N}^{\text{pollard eff}}[\text{factor } N]$?

We say that $p-1$ is "B-smooth" if all prime divisors of $p-1$ are $\leq B$.

→ Roughly, a random integer $\{1, \dots, N\}$ is B-smooth w.p.
 $\approx u^{-u}$, $u = \frac{\ln N}{\ln B}$.

So, if we want $p-1$ to run in ppt for random RSA mod N , we want $B = \text{poly}(\log N)$.

$$u = \frac{\log N}{\log(\text{poly}(\log N))} \stackrel{\text{say}}{\approx} u = \frac{\log N}{\log \log N}$$

Then $\Pr_N^{\text{factor } N \text{ efficiently}} = \left(\frac{\log N}{\log \log N} \right)^{-\frac{\log N}{\log \log N}} = \left(\frac{n}{\log n} \right)^{-\frac{n}{\log n}}$

Basically inverse exponential in n .

↓
 Pollard's $p-1$ alg factors almost no RSA moduli in ppt!

However! Lenstra's ECM factors all RSA moduli in time $L[\frac{1}{2}, \dots]$

Idea: "Randomize" $p-1$. Eventually "p-1" will be smooth and you can factor N .

↓
 ECM is good at finding small factors of N when they exist.

Dixon's Algorithm (1981)

Factors all N in "subexponential time." Requires no unproved assumptions but is not as fast as GNFS, which does use assumptions.

Running time uses notation $L_x[\alpha, \beta] = e^{\beta(\log N)^\alpha (\log \log N)^{1-\alpha}}$.

$$L[1, 1] = e^{\log N} = N \quad \leftarrow \text{exponential time}$$

$$L[0, 1] = e^{\log \log N} = \log N \quad \leftarrow \text{polynomial time}$$

$$L[\frac{1}{2}, 1] = e^{\sqrt{\log N} \sqrt{\log \log N}} \quad \leftarrow \text{"subexponential time"}$$

Best general-purpose factoring alg runs in

$$L[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}] = e^{O(\sqrt[3]{\log N})} \text{ time}$$

Dixon's alg runs in

$$L[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] = e^{O(\sqrt{\log N})} \text{ time}$$

↖ Constant "in the exponent" matters in practice!

Dixon's alg is interesting bc

- 1) Simple
- 2) "Index calculus" style alg (also useful for dlog)
- 3) No assumptions needed / No heuristic

Before getting to Dixon's you should know

- There are poly time fact alg for very special N ($N = p^k q$ for large k) (Bach, Dufer, N-G '91)
- " " " " when half of bits of p known (Coppersmith '96)
- Alg runs in time $e^{O(\sqrt{\log p})}$ for p being smallest factor of N .
↳ Contrast w/ Dixon & NFS

Dixon's Alg

Recall from discussion of Rabin's OWF, if you can find a, b ,

$$a \neq b \quad \text{st.} \quad a^2 = b^2 \pmod{N}$$

$$a \neq \pm b \pmod{N}$$

$$\text{Then} \quad a^2 - b^2 = 0 \pmod{N}$$

$$(a+b)(a-b) = 0 \pmod{N}$$

$\Rightarrow \text{gcd}(a-b, N)$ reveals a factor of N .

Many factoring algs use this difference of sqs. trick!

Question: How do you find a and b ?

Dixon's Alg

Let $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ be the set of all primes $\leq B$.

Input: N

Input: N, p_1

Output: (p, q)

while (true) {

Chosen later

Alg: 1) Repeat $\sim B$ times:

1) Repeat $\sim \sqrt{N}$ times

$$x_i \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_N^R$$

$$y_i \leftarrow x_i^2 \pmod{N}$$

if y_i is not B -smooth, throw away

else: write y_i in factored form

$$y_i = p_1^{e_{i1}} p_2^{e_{i2}} p_3^{e_{i3}} \dots p_k^{e_{ik}}$$

store (y_i, \vec{e}_i) "relation" in table

2) Once you collect $k+1$ vectors \vec{e}_i , you are guaranteed to have a linear dependency among them (mod 2)

Non-zero linear combination of \vec{e}_i s.t. $\sum \vec{e}_i = \vec{0}$.

$$\vec{e}_1 = (1 \ 0 \ 1)$$

$$\vec{e}_2 = (0 \ 1 \ 1)$$

$$\vec{e}_4 = (1 \ 1 \ 0)$$

$$\vec{e}_1 \oplus \vec{e}_2 \oplus \vec{e}_4 = (0 \ 0 \ 0)$$

Call the set of dependent vectors S .

3) Write

$$\prod_{i \in S} y_i = \prod_{i \in S} p_1^{e_{i1}} p_2^{e_{i2}} \dots p_k^{e_{ik}} \pmod{N}$$

$$\left(\prod_{i \in S} x_i \right)^2 = \left(p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_k^{e_k} \right)^2 \pmod{N}$$

We've found $\prod_{i \in S} a, b$ s.t. $a^2 = b^2 \pmod{N}$.

Now, we hope $a \not\equiv \pm b \pmod{N}$

\Rightarrow Factored N !

Dixon's Alg

$$B = u^u \quad \text{for } u = \frac{\ln N}{\ln B}$$

$$\text{Say we pick } B = L\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right] = e^{\sqrt{\ln N} \sqrt{\ln \ln N}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } u &= \frac{\ln N}{\ln B} \\ &= \frac{\ln N}{\sqrt{\ln N} \sqrt{\ln \ln N}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \sqrt{\frac{\ln N}{\ln \ln N}} \\ &= e^{\frac{1}{2} \ln \ln N - \frac{1}{2} \ln \ln \ln N} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Then } u^u = \left(e^{\frac{1}{2} \ln \ln N} \right)^{\sqrt{\frac{\ln N}{\ln \ln N}}} = e^{\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\ln N} \sqrt{\ln \ln N}}$$

$$B \approx u^u \approx e^{\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\ln N} \sqrt{\ln \ln N}}$$

Running time is then $O(\text{poly}(B))$. Say $O(B^k)$,

$$O(B^k) = e^{\frac{k}{2} \sqrt{\ln N} \sqrt{\ln \ln N}} = L\left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{k}{2}\right]$$

↖ Just changes "constant in exponent"
In practice, need to optimize carefully!

Analysis: [Very loose!]

Need to argue that last step succeeds often.

↳ Look at last relation. Chosen indep of all others.

Probability that you hit a "bad" relation is $\frac{1}{a}$.

Complexity

We need $\sim B$ relations to factor.

Generating each relation requires finding a B -smooth #.
Heuristically:

$$\Pr[\text{relation is "good"}] \approx u^{-u} \quad \text{for } u = \frac{\log N}{\log B}$$

Checking whether relation is good takes $\sim B$ time.

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{time to find relation}] = B \cdot u^{u^u}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{time to find } B \text{ relations}] = B^2 u^{u^u}$$

Time for step 3: Can find dependency using Gaussian elimination: $O(B^3)$ arithmetic ops.

$$\text{Total time: } \underbrace{B^2 u^{u^u}}_{\text{step 1}} + \underbrace{B^3}_{\text{step 2}} + \underbrace{\text{Cost of finding } B \text{ primes}}_{\substack{\downarrow \\ \text{ignore (precompute)}}$$

To minimize running time

$$B^2 u^{u^u} \approx B^3$$

$$u^{u^u} \approx B$$